

ASIA POLICY

≈ HTTP://ASIAPOLICY.NBR.ORG ≈

a peer-reviewed journal devoted to bridging the gap between academic research and policymaking on issues related to the Asia-Pacific



PUBLICATION ETHICS STATEMENT

Asia Policy, a journal of The National Bureau of Asian Research (NBR), accepts as submissions original articles and essays containing policy-relevant academic research related to contemporary Asia-Pacific affairs. NBR is committed to upholding the best practices in all stages of the publication process. Expectations for and responsibilities of *Asia Policy* authors, editors, and reviewers are based on the standards established by the Committee on Publications Ethics (COPE).

Responsibilities of Authors

- **Reporting Standards:** Authors should accurately present their work and the underlying data and offer an objective discussion of its significance. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are not accepted. Authors should promptly notify the editors or publisher if a significant error in their work is identified and cooperate with the editor and publisher to rectify the error, or publish an erratum or addendum, or to retract the paper where this is deemed necessary. Submissions should follow the requirements of the journal as outlined in the *Asia Policy* Guidelines for Submission.
- **Authorship of the Paper:** All authors named on the submitted manuscript shall be held accountable for the content of a submitted manuscript. The corresponding author must ensure all named co-authors consent to publication and to be credited as co-authors. All persons who have made significant authorship contributions to the work should be named as co-authors.
- **Data Access and Retention:** Authors may be asked to provide copies of the data associated with the manuscript for editorial review and should be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.
- **Multiple, Redundant, or Concurrent Publications:** Authors must disclose the background of the manuscript upon submission of the first draft, including if the paper or any component parts have been presented at any conferences or have appeared online in any form. Authors must warrant that the manuscript has not been published elsewhere in full or in part and is not under consideration by any other organization while it is being considered by *Asia Policy*.
- **Originality and Plagiarism:** Authors must ensure that they have written and submitted entirely original manuscripts, and that they have correctly cited or quoted their own works and the works of other authors.
- **Acknowledgement of Sources:** All prior use of arguments found in the manuscript—whether for publication in English or any other language—must be properly footnoted at the time of submission.

Responsibilities of Editors

- **Publication Decisions:** The managing editor, in consultation as needed with the editors and editorial advisory committee, shall prescreen all submissions to establish that they meet submission guidelines, fit the current needs of the journal, and represent original work. The managing editor shall request or accept changes to the manuscript

based on the peer-review process as well as on advice from the editors, editorial advisory committee, and editorial board. Guided by the editors and the review process, the managing editor and publisher shall be responsible for deciding which manuscripts submitted to the journal should be published and for conveying publication decisions to authors.

- **Review of Articles:** Manuscripts that pass the prescreen stage shall be submitted to a double-blind peer-review process. Reviewers shall provide substantive comments on the manuscript and make one of the following decisions: publish, publish with revision, revise and resubmit, or reject. Reviewer identities shall be kept confidential.
- **Fair Play:** The editors, managing editor, and editorial advisory committee shall ensure that all manuscripts received are reviewed only on their intellectual merit and without regard to the race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors or their home institutions.
- **Confidentiality:** Editors must ensure that information in submissions is kept confidential, and shared only with the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.
- **Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest:** Editors must not use unpublished materials under consideration in their own research or in a submitted article without the consent of the author. Editors must also disclose any potential conflicts of interest before beginning the review process and should not agree to review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships with authors or institutions connected to the papers.

Responsibilities of Reviewers

- **Confidentiality:** Information in manuscripts must be treated as confidential. Manuscripts should not be shown to or discussed with others without the approval of the managing editor. Reviewers shall not use any submitted material under consideration in their own research.
- **Conflicts of Interest:** Reviewers must give unbiased consideration to each manuscript. Reviewers should not be aware of the article author's identity and should not have already read or commented on the article. Reviewers should not agree to review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships with authors or institutions connected to the papers.
- **Unqualified to Review or Promptness:** Any reviewer who feels unqualified to review the assigned manuscript or is unable to provide a prompt review by the specified date should notify the managing editor and excuse himself/herself from the review process.
- **Standards of Objectivity:** All reviews should be objective, constructive, and appropriately substantial. Reviewers should support their views with clear arguments and evidence from the manuscript.
- **Acknowledgement of Sources:** Reviewers should call to the managing editor's attention any significant similarity between the manuscript under consideration and any other publications or submitted manuscripts of which they are aware.